

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/720,761	SHEETS, RICHARD G.	
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Marvin Motzenbocker.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 October 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 6/22/04*

**Claims discussed:**

*All*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Prior Art of Record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 35-37 of the instant specification.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)